sorely needed fiscal discipline to Washington." The same day, his budget director warns us not to expect another surplus until 2005, after the president's first term is over. If this is fiscal discipline, it has an odd bottom line.

President Bush took office with an advantage no president in recent times has enjoyed: a budget in surplus. Ten days after his inaugural, the Congressional Budget Office projected a surplus of \$313 billion in fiscal 2002, and over ten years, a cumulative surplus of \$5.6 trillion. More than half of that has vanished. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget, Mitchell Daniels, blames the economy, extra spending, the fight against terrorism—everything but tax cuts.

Last month, economists on the House and Senate Budget Committees updated their estimates of the economy and budget. Their analysis is as close as you can get to a consensus on where we stand now. They show that over ten years the tax cut takes a toll of \$1.7 trillion on the budget and accounts for 55 percent of the depletion in the surplus. Spending related to the war on terrorism, initiated after September 11, takes another 11 percent. Other spending increases take 11 percent, and of that, the President's request for defense constitutes two-thirds. The remaining 23 percent is due to the economy.

The economy is a major factor over the next two years. But as the economy recover, its drain on the budget tapers off. The President's tax cuts get bigger.

Budget Committee estimates show a remaining surplus over ten years of \$2.6 trillion, but virtually all comes from the Social Security Trust Fund, which everyone has sworn not to touch; and most of that is concentrated in future years where the outlook is very uncertain. When the President submits next year's budget in February, an updated forecast of the economy will come with it, and the \$2.6 trillion surplus will surely shrink again. Mr. Daniels no doubt had that forecast in hand when he warned of the vanishing surplus.

The Budget Committee estimates were put together as part of a bipartisan search for common ground. Leaders on Budget, Finance, and Ways and Means met to settle on policies to stimulate the economy. We settled instead for a statement of principles. We agreed that stimulus was needed but that it should be short-lived, to avoid converting a cyclical downswing into a structural deficit. We wanted the budget to recover as the economy recovers. The stimulus bill reported by Ways and Means forsook these principles and proposed more permanent tax cuts, with revenue losses continuing long after the recession ends.

More than half of the surplus is gone, and the plan to save the Social Security surpluses and buy back government bonds is in grave doubt. But the administration seems to find no lesson in these results. On the same day Mr. Daniels made his gloomy prediction, the White House renewed discussions on a stimulus plan, and afterwards told the media that repeal of the corporate alternative minimum tax had to be part of any stimulus plan the President signed. In the short run, this will not help the economy; in the long run, it will not help the budget. In all events, it begs the question: How will we pay for the war on terrorism, for homeland defense, for reinsurance of terrorist

damages, for victims' compensation, and for that matter, for the baby boomers' retirement?

No one is blaming the administration for the recession, but it can be faulted for ignoring the clouds and betting the budget on a blue-sky forecast. We warned that its budget had no margin for error if the projections it was based upon failed to pan out. We warned that the tax cuts left little room for other priorities, like Medicare drug coverage or the solvency of Social Security. The administration acted as if we could have it all. Now that it's clear we can't, it seems as unwilling as ever to recast its budget. This is not fiscal discipline; this fiscal depial.

If the administration wants to put the economy and the budget back on path, it has to heed the lessons of the last ten months and acknowledge that the underpinnings of its budget no longer hold.

MARSHALL UNIVERSITY MARTIN LUTHER KING DAY OF SERVICE GRANT

HON. NICK J. RAHALL II

OF WEST VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, December 19, 2001

Mr. RAHALL. Mr. Speaker, the Rev. Martin Luther King Jr., once declared. "A nation or civilization that continues to produce softminded men purchases its own spiritual death on the installment plan." Dr. King devoted his life to improving the minds—and the hearts and souls—of all Americans. That work continues today at Marshall University.

For the fourth time in five years, the Corporation of National Service has awarded Marshall the Martin Luther King Day of Service Grant. It testifies to the energy and efficacy of their efforts. Their work endows children and adults of all creeds and races with a sense of social justice and a commitment of civil rights.

Their January celebration of Dr. King's life and legacy epitomizes the purpose of this national holiday embodies his belief in public service. But just as Dr. King's teaching was not bounded by the walls of his church, Marshall's work in his spirit is not restricted to only one special day. In the upcoming year, for example, Marshall will sponsor a Youth Leadership and Development Program, an Investment in Youth Leadership Forum, and a Mentor Literacy Program, all supported by the CNS grant.

Marshall's is a program that should be honored by all who value Dr. King's message and by any who strive to transmit it to future generations.

SALUTE TO MARTIN HARDY OF GLENDALE, ARIZONA

HON. BARBARA LEE

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, December 19, 2001

Ms. LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to salute Martin Hardy of Glendale, Arizona, who began his career with the FAA in 1971, as an Air Traffic Controller at Sky Harbor Airport in Phoenix, Arizona.

With over 30 years of air traffic experience in the Phoenix and Los Angeles areas, Martin has served in a variety of capacities, including Air Traffic Controller (Sky Harbor & Burbank Airports); Operational Supervisor (Burbank TRACON/ Tower & Phoenix Approach Control Facility); Assistant Training Manager (Phoenix Approach Control Facility); Assistant Air Traffic Manager (Phoenix TRACON, Phoenix Tower, Phoenix TRACON and Tower); Air Traffic Manager (Tucson TRACON & Phoenix Tower); and Staff Specialist (National Headquarters—Washington, DC, and Regional Headquarters—Los Angeles, CA). He has remained in a supervisory or management role since 1984 and has been committed to providing safe air traffic service to the nation.

Throughout the past 10 years, Martin has been involved in all stages of change and progress during the tremendous growth period in the Phoenix region. He established exceptional working relationships with many airline representatives in the industry and has remained involved in the coordination of air traffic control procedures for the third runway and north runway construction projects at Sky Harbor Airport.

Martin's extensive knowledge of the Intergovernmental Agreement between the cities of Phoenix and Tempe has allowed him to work closely with the City of Phoenix and with the community in mitigating the noise concerns around Sky Harbor Airport. He has represented the FAA on the following state and local committees: City of Phoenix Sky Harbor Part 150 Study; City of Peoria Airport Master Plan Advisory Committee; State of Arizona Committee for the Preservation of Military Airports; Maricopa Association of Governments; Williams Gateway Airport Part 150 Study; and Phoenix Airspace user Workgroup (PAUWG). He has also served as a member of NBCFAE (National Black Coalition of Federal Aviation Employees).

Martin attended San Fernando Valley State College in San Fernando, CA. Throughout his career he has completed a multitude of courses at the FAA Center for Management Development, Palm Coast, Florida. He is a native of Eunice, Louisiana, he and his wife, Beverly, of 31 years, reside in Glendale, AZ. They are the proud parents of 3 children—Nicole, Nichelle and Martin II.

Martin is retiring from his current position of Assistant Air Traffic Manager at the FAA Terminal Radar Approach Control (TRACON) facility located at Sky Harbor Airport, where he has directed a staff of approximately 80 personnel, and maintained responsibility for the radar operations, procedures, automation, and administrative functions of the facility for the past 3 years.

I applaud his great achievements and hard work during his noteworthy career. FAA employees have long guarded the safety and security of our airways, and Martin Hardy has had an exemplary career in serving his country in this way. Congratulations on your retirement and best wishes as you enter a new chapter in your life.